

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/767,195	01/28/2004	Satish Sundar	8243/DSM/BCVD/JW	5733	
44182	7590 11/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP			DHINGRA, RAI	DHINGRA, RAKESH KUMAR	
APPLIED MATERIALS INC 595 SHREWSBURY AVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 100			1763	1763	
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/767,195	SUNDAR, SATISH			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Rakesh K. Dhingra	1763			

	Rakesh K. Dhingra	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Noti a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ing replies: (1) an amendment, af ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 6.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS	out writer to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: see continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N I sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:	PARVIZ HASSANZADEH					
PARVIZ HASSANZADEH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER kesh Dhingra						
SU	JPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	MELL				

Response to applicant arguments:

Applicant argues that rejection should be withdrawn since Arai, Hirose et al and Mears et al references do not teach three biasing elements as per limitation in the now amended claim 1.

Examiner responds that though Mears et al show only one resilient member 41a (biasing member) in Figure 6, Mears et al further teach that resilient (biasing) member may be given other shapes and also comprise more than one section and each section connecting to a plurality of fingers, thus implying that number of biasing members could be varied (would include one biasing member for each finger) [column 9, line 50 to column 10, line 15]. Further, duplication (in this case of biasing member) has been considered to be obvious. In view of above, the cited references (Arai, Hirose and Mears) in the previous office action read even on the now presented claims and the rejection of claims is therefore maintained.